IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

****

Writ Petition No. 37 of 2001

Shri Ramesh Silimkhan,
major, r/o Mala,
Panaji, Goa. ... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Goa,
through Chief
Secretary, Secretariat,
Panaji, Goa,

2. Director of Municipal
Administration,
Panaji, Goa,

3. State Election Commission,
with office at Junta
House, Panaji, Goa,

4. Union of India,
through Standing Counsels,

5. Mrs. Ruth Furtado,
r/o 48, Machado Cove,
Dona Paula, Goa. ... Respondents.

Shri S.S. Kantak, advocate for the petitioner.

Shri A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advodate General with Shri P. A. Kamat, Government Advocate for respondent nos.1 & 2.

Shri C.Coutinho, advocate for respondent no.3.

Shri M. S. Sonak, advocate for respondent no.5.

CORAM : P. V. HARDAS &
D. G. KARNIK, JJ.

DATE : 22nd April, 2004.

ORAL JUDGMENT (Per Hardas, J.)

Shri Kantak, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner has filed a pursis dated 21st April, 2004, which is taken on record and marked 'X' for the purpose of

identification. In the said pursis it is stated that in view of the enactment of the City of Panaji Corporation Act, 2002, the substantive reliefs claimed in the petition are now not available and, to that extent, the petition has become infructuous.

2. In view of this pursis at 'X', Writ Petition No. 37 of 2001 is dismissed as infructuous, with no order as to costs. Rule discharged.

P. V. HARDAS, J.

D. G. KARNIK, J.

mc.